

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,837	12/15/2004	Seiji Terakura	3629-0107PUS1	1306
2292	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE	WART KOLASCH	ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3739	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/517,837	TERAKURA, SEIJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rosiland S. Rollins	3739		

	Rosiland S. Rollins	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(*
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		Rosiland S Rollins Primary Examiner	Dullo

Art Unit: 3739

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Sato does not include a coupling member, a pressing member, in the coupling member and a sliding member. In figure 5 of Sato, a coupling (pressing) member (11), a clip member (11a) arranged in the coupling (pressing) member and a sliding member (6) is clearly illustrated. As shown in the figure the clip member is arranged "in" the coupling member (11) as claimed. Moreover, Applicant has failed to disclose the clip member as being arranged in the coupling member. Applicant's disclosure and illustrations all refer to the clip member as being "coupled" to the coupling member and not in the coupling member as claimed. Applicant also argues that Sato does not include first and second clip members connected to an operating member body and a slider member retained on the operating member body and slidably shiftable between first and second positions. Sato teaches first and second clip members (11a) connected to an operating member body (11) and a slider member (6) inasmuch as the Applicant discloses such.